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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Title of presentation</th>
<th>Lecturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:30 am</td>
<td>Overview of Numerical Algorithms &amp; Software for Extreme-Scale Science</td>
<td>Lori Diachin, LLNL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>... with hands-on lessons throughout the day for various topics (lead: Mark C. Miller, LLNL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30 am</td>
<td>Break</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00 am</td>
<td>Unstructured Meshing and Discretization</td>
<td>Tzanio Kolev, LLNL and Mark Shephard, RPI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30 pm</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30 pm</td>
<td>Time Integration and Nonlinear Solvers</td>
<td>Barry Smith, ANL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:15 pm</td>
<td>Krylov Solvers and Multigrid</td>
<td>Ulrike Meier Yang, LLNL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:00 pm</td>
<td>Break</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:30 pm</td>
<td>Sparse Direct Solvers</td>
<td>Sherry Li, LBNL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:15 pm</td>
<td>Numerical Optimization</td>
<td>Todd Munson and Hong Zhang, ANL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:00 pm</td>
<td>Putting It All Together: One Perspective</td>
<td>Cameron Smith and Mark Shephard, RPI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:30 pm</td>
<td>Dinner + Panel: Extreme-Scale Algorithms &amp; Software</td>
<td>Track 4 Team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:30 pm</td>
<td>Hands-on Deep Dives ... 1-on-1 Discussions ... Prizes!</td>
<td>Track 4 Team</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional contributors to lectures and hands-on lessons: Satish Balay (ANL), Alp Denner (ANL), Aaron Fisher (LLNL), Lois Curfman McInnes (ANL)

Additional contributors to gallery of highlights: Karen Devine (SNL), Mike Heroux (SNL), Piotr Luszczek (U Tennessee), Dan Martin (LBNL), Julianne Müller (LBNL)

See also Track 6: Software Productivity (Aug 8)
Track 4: Numerical Algorithms and Software: Tutorial Goals

1. Provide a basic understanding of a variety of applied mathematics algorithms for scalable linear, nonlinear, and ODE solvers as well as discretization technologies (e.g., adaptive mesh refinement for structured and unstructured grids).

2. Provide an overview of software tools available to perform these tasks on HPC architectures … including where to go for more info.

3. Practice using one or more of these software tools on basic demonstration problems.
This presentation gives a high-level introduction to HPC numerical software

• How HPC numerical software addresses challenges in computational science and engineering (CSE)
• Toward extreme-scale scientific software ecosystems
• Using and contributing: Where to go for more info

Why is this important for you?
– Libraries enable users to focus on their primary interests
  • Reuse algorithms and data structures developed by experts
  • Customize and extend to exploit application-specific knowledge
  • Cope with complexity and changes over time
– More efficient, robust, reliable, scalable, sustainable scientific software
– Better science, broader impact of your work
This work is founded on decades of experience and concerted team efforts to improve numerical software

- FASTMath SciDAC Institute
- IDEAS Scientific Software Productivity
- Exascale Computing Project
CSE: Essential driver of scientific progress

CSE = Computational Science & Engineering

Development and use of computational methods for scientific discovery

- all branches of the sciences
- engineering and technology
- support of decision-making across a spectrum of societally important applications
Rapidly expanding role of CSE: New directions toward predictive science

- Mathematical methods and algorithms
- CSE and HPC: Ubiquitous parallelism
- CSE and the data revolution
- CSE software
- CSE education & workforce development

Research and Education in Computational Science & Engineering


Software is the foundation of sustained CSE collaboration and scientific progress.

CSE cycle: Modeling, simulation, and analysis
- Software: independent but interrelated elements for various phases that together enable CSE
Multiphysics: A primary motivator for exascale

Multiphysics: greater than 1 component governed by its own principle(s) for evolution or equilibrium

- Also: broad class of coarsely partitioned problems possess similarities

IJHPCA, Feb 2013
Vol 27, Issue 1, pp. 4-83

Multiphysics simulations:
Challenges and opportunities


doi:10.1177/1094342012468181
Multiphysics challenges … the study of ‘and’

“We often think that when we have completed our study of one we know all about two, because ‘two’ is ‘one and one.’ We forget that we still have to make a study of ‘and.’”

− Sir Arthur Stanley Eddington (1892–1944), British astrophysicist
CSE simulation starts with a forward simulation that capture the physical phenomenon of interest

- Develop a mathematical model of the phenomenon of interest
- Approximate the model using a discrete representation
- Solve the discrete representation
- Adapt and refine the mesh or model
- Incorporate different physics, scales

Requires: mesh generation, partitioning, load balancing, high-order discretization, time integration, linear and nonlinear solvers, eigensolvers, mesh refinement, multiscale/multiphysics coupling methods, etc.
CSE analysis builds on the CSE simulation loop … and relies on even more numerical algorithms and software.

**CSE simulation loop**
- physics models
- meshes
- discretization
- algebraic solvers
- refine

**CSE analysis loop**
- CSE simulation loop
- sensitivities/derivatives
- uncertainty quantification
- data analytics
- optimization / design

**Requires:** adjoints, sensitivities, algorithmic differentiation, sampling, ensemble simulations, uncertainty quantification, data analytics, optimization (derivative free and derivative based), inverse problems, etc.

*Beyond interpretive simulations … working toward predictive science*
First consider a very simple example

- 1D rod with one end in a hot water bath, the other in a cold water bath
- Mathematical model

$$\nabla^2 T = 0 \in \Omega$$

$$T(0) = 180^\circ \quad T(1) = 0^\circ$$
The first step is to discretize the equations

- Approximate the derivatives in the continuous equations with a discrete representation that is easier to solve
- One approach: Finite differences

\[ \nabla^2 T \approx \left( T_{i+1} - 2T_i + T_{i-1} \right) / h^2 = 0 \]

\[ T_0 = 180^\circ \quad T_n = 0^\circ \]

Hot water bath \quad h \quad \text{Cold water bath}
Then you can solve for the unknowns $T_i$

- Set up a matrix of the unknown coefficients
  - include the known boundary conditions
- Solve the linear system for $T_i$

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
2 & -1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & \ldots \\
0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & \ldots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & -1 & 2
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
T_1 \\
T_2 \\
T_3 \\
\vdots \\
T_{n-1}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
180 h^2 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{bmatrix}
$$

- Visualize and analyze the results
As problems get more complicated, so do the steps in the process

- Different discretization strategies exist for differing needs
- Most problems are time dependent and nonlinear
  - Need higher algorithmic levels than linear solvers
- Increasingly combining multiple physical processes
  - Interactions require careful handling
- Goal-oriented problem solving requires optimization, uncertainty quantification
Structured grid efforts focus on high-order, mapped grids, embedded boundaries, AMR, and particles.

Application to cosmology, astrophysics, accelerator modeling, fusion, climate, subsurface reacting flows, low mach number combustion, etc.
Unstructured grid capabilities focus on adaptivity, high-order, and the tools needed for extreme scaling.

- Parallel mesh infrastructures
- Dynamic load balancing
- Mesh adaptation and quality control
- Parallel performance on unstructured meshes
- Architecture aware implementations

Application to fusion, climate, accelerator modeling, NNSA applications, nuclear energy, manufacturing processes, etc.
Time discretization methods provide efficient and robust techniques for stiff implicit, explicit and multi-rate systems.
As problems grow in size, so do corresponding discrete systems

• Targeting applications with billions grid points and unknowns
• Most linear systems resulting from these techniques are LARGE and sparse
• Often most expensive solution step
• Solvers:
  – Direct methods (e.g. Gaussian Elimination)
  – Iterative methods (e.g. Krylov Methods)
    • Preconditioning is typically critical
    • Mesh quality affects convergence rate
• Many software tools deliver this functionality as numerical libraries
  – hypre, PETSc, SuperLU, Trilinos, etc.
Research on algebraic systems provides key solution technologies to applications

- Linear system solution using direct and iterative solvers
- Nonlinear system solution using acceleration techniques and globalized Newton methods
- Eigensolvers using iterative techniques and optimization
- Architecture aware implementations

Application to fusion, nuclear structure calculation, quantum chemistry, accelerator modeling, climate, dislocation dynamics etc.
Simulation is significantly complicated by the change in computing architectures

Scientific computing software must address ever increasing challenges:

- Million to billion way parallelism
- Deeply hierarchical NUMA for multi-core processors
- Fault tolerance
- Data movement constraints
- Heterogeneous, accelerated architectures
- Power constraints

Graphic courtesy of Bronis de Supinski, LLNL
Research to improve performance on HPC platforms focuses on inter- and intra-node issues

**Inter-node: Massive Concurrency**
- Reduce communication
- Increase concurrency
- Reduce synchronization
- Address memory footprint
- Enable large communication/computation overlap

**Intra-node: Deep NUMA**
- MPI + threads for many packages
- Compare task and data parallelism
- Thread communicator to allow passing of thread information among libraries
- Low-level kernels for vector operations that support hybrid programming models
Ongoing research addresses key bottlenecks on modern day computers

Reduce communication
- AMG: develop non-Galerkin approaches, use redundancy or agglomeration on coarse grids, develop additive AMG variants (hypre) (2X improvement)
- Hierarchical partitioning optimizes communication at each level (Zoltan) (27% improvement in matrix-vector multiply)
- Relaxation and bottom solve in AMR multigrid (Chombo) (2.5X improvement in solver, 40% overall)

Increase concurrency
- New spectrum slicing eigensolver in PARPACK (Computes 10s of thousands of eigenvalues in small amounts of time)
- New pole expansion and selected inversion schemes (PEXSI) (now scales to over 100K cores)
- Utilize BG/Q architecture for extreme scaling demonstrations (PHASTA) (3.1M processes on 768K cores unstructured mesh calculation)

Reduce synchronization points
- Implemented pipelined versions of CG and conjugate residual methods; 4X improvement in speed (PETSc) (30% speed up on 32K cores)

Address memory footprint issues
- Predictive load balancing schemes for AMR (Zoltan) (Allows AMR runs to complete by maintaining memory footprint)
- Hybrid programming models

Increase communication and computation overlap
- Improved and stabilized look-ahead algorithms (SuperLU) (3X run time improvement)

Used in PFLOTRAN applications
Used in PHASTA extreme scale applications
Used in Omega3P accelerator simulations
Software libraries facilitate CSE progress

• **Software library**: a high-quality, encapsulated, documented, tested, and multiuse software collection that provides functionality commonly needed by application developers
  – Organized for the purpose of being reused by independent (sub)programs
  – User needs to know only library interface (not internal details) … when and how to use library functionality appropriately

• **Key advantages** of software libraries
  – Contain complexity
  – Leverage library developer expertise
  – Reduce application coding effort
  – Encourage sharing of code, ease distribution of code

• References:
  – [What are Interoperable Software Libraries? Introducing the xSDK](https://example.com/xSDK)
Broad range of HPC numerical software

Some packages with general-purpose, reusable algorithmic infrastructure in support of high-performance CSE:

- AMReX – https://github.com/AMReX-codes/amrex
- Chombo - https://commons.lbl.gov/display/chombo
- Clawpack - http://www.clawpack.org
- Deal.II - https://www.dealii.org
- FEniCS - https://fenicsproject.org
- hypre - http://www.llnl.gov/CASC/hypre
- libMesh - https://libmesh.github.io
- MAGMA - http://icl.cs.utk.edu/magma
- MFEM - http://mfem.org
- PETSc/TAO – http://www.mcs.anl.gov/petsc
- PUMI - http://github.com/SCOREC/core
- SUNDIALS - http://computation.llnl.gov/casc/sundials
- Trilinos - https://trilinos.org
- Uintah - http://www.uintah.utah.edu
- waLBerla - http://www.walberla.net

See info about scope, performance, usage, and design, including:
- tutorials
- demos
- examples
- how to contribute

Discussed today: Gallery of highlights

... and many, many more ... Explore, use, contribute!
ECP applications need sustainable coordination among ECP math libraries

- ECP application team interviews:
  Astro, NWChemEx, WDMAPP, ExaFel, GAMESS, ExaSGD, Subsurface, EXAALT, WarpX, ExaAM, MFIX-Exa, ATDM (LANL, LLNL, SNL) apps, CoPA, AMREX, CEED, CODAR

- Many ECP app teams rely on math libraries, often in combination

  Need sustainable coordinated xSDK releases and increasing interoperability among xSDK packages to achieve good performance and easily access advanced algorithms and data structures
Software libraries are not enough

Apps need to use software packages in combination

“The way you get programmer productivity is by eliminating lines of code you have to write.”


- Need consistency of compiler (+version, options), 3rd-party packages, etc.
- Namespace and version conflicts make simultaneous build/link of packages difficult
- Multilayer interoperability requires careful design and sustainable coordination

See also Track 6: Software Productivity (Aug 8)
Building the foundation of a highly effective extreme-scale scientific software ecosystem

**Focus:** Increasing the functionality, quality, and interoperability of important scientific libraries, domain components, and development tools

**Impact:**
- Improved code quality, usability, access, sustainability
- Inform potential users that an xSDK member package can be easily used with other xSDK packages
- Foundation for work on performance portability, deeper levels of package interoperability

Website: xSDK.info
xSDK Version 0.3.0: December 2017

https://xsdk.info

Notation: A → B: A can use B to provide functionality on behalf of A

Multiphysics Application C

Application A

Application B

xSDK functionality, Dec 2017

Tested on key machines at ALCF, NERSC, OLCF, also Linux, Mac OS X

xSDK

Extreme-Scale Scientific Software Development Kit (xSDK)

Domain components
- Reacting flow, etc.
- Reusable.

Libraries
- Solvers, etc.
- Interoperable.

Frameworks & tools
- Doc generators.
- Test, build framework.

SW engineering
- Productivity tools.
- Models, processes.

xSDK functionallity, Dec 2017

HDF5
BLAS
More external software

March 2017
- 7 math libraries
- 2 domain components
- Spack xSDK installer
- 16 mandatory xSDK community policies

Working toward next xSDK release, fall 2018:
Albany, AMReX, Chombo, CrunchFlow/CrunchTope, deal.II, DTK, Omega-h, PHIST, PLASMA, PUMi, SLEPC, STRUMPACK, TASMANIAN

December 2017
- 7 math libraries
- 2 domain components
- Spack xSDK installer
- 16 mandatory xSDK community policies

More domain components

Alquimia
PFLOTRAN
PETSc
hype
SUNDIALS
SuperLU
MFEM
More contributed libraries
MAGMA

More external software

Spack

Working toward next xSDK release, fall 2018:
Albany, AMReX, Chombo, CrunchFlow/CrunchTope, deal.II, DTK, Omega-h, PHIST, PLASMA, PUMi, SLEPC, STRUMPACK, TASMANIAN
The xSDK is using Spack to deploy its software

- The xSDK packages depend on a number of open source libraries
- Spack is a package manager for HPC
- Spack allows the xSDK to be deployed with a single command
  - User can optionally choose compilers, MPI implementation, and build options
  - Will soon support combinatorial test dashboards for all xSDK packages

Spack has grown into a thriving open source community

- Over 140 contributors
- Over 40 organizations
- Over 1,400 packages
- Over 75% of package code contributed from outside LLNL

github.com/LLNL/spack
xSDK community policies

**xSDK compatible package: Must satisfy mandatory xSDK policies:**

M1. Support xSDK community GNU Autoconf or CMake options.
M2. Provide a comprehensive test suite.
M3. Employ user-provided MPI communicator.
M4. Give best effort at portability to key architectures.
M5. Provide a documented, reliable way to contact the development team.
M6. Respect system resources and settings made by other previously called packages.
M7. Come with an open source license.
M8. Provide a runtime API to return the current version number of the software.
M9. Use a limited and well-defined symbol, macro, library, and include file name space.
M10. Provide an accessible repository (not necessarily publicly available).
M11. Have no hardwired print or IO statements.
M12. Allow installing, building, and linking against an outside copy of external software.
M13. Install headers and libraries under `<prefix>/include/` and `<prefix>/lib/`.
M14. Be buildable using 64 bit pointers. 32 bit is optional.
M15. All xSDK compatibility changes should be sustainable.
M16. The package must support production-quality installation compatible with the xSDK install tool and xSDK metapackage.

**Also specify recommended policies,** which currently are encouraged but not required:

R1. Have a public repository.
R2. Possible to run test suite under valgrind in order to test for memory corruption issues.
R3. Adopt and document consistent system for error conditions/exceptions.
R4. Free all system resources it has acquired as soon as they are no longer needed.
R5. Provide a mechanism to export ordered list of library dependencies.

**xSDK member package:** Must be an xSDK-compatible package, *and* it uses or can be used by another package in the xSDK, and the connecting interface is regularly tested for regressions.

We welcome feedback. What policies make sense for your apps and packages?

https://xsdk.info/policies
xSDK: Primary delivery mechanism for ECP math libraries’ continual advancements toward predictive science

As motivated and validated by the needs of ECP applications:

- Performance on new node architectures
- Extreme strong scalability
- Interoperability, complementarity: xSDK
- Optimization, UQ, solvers, discretizations
- Advanced, coupled multiphysics, multiscale

Timeline:
- xSDK release 1
- xSDK release 2
- \ldots
- xSDK release n

Next-generation algorithms
Advances in data structures for new node architectures
Improving library quality, sustainability, interoperability
Towards predictive scientific simulations
Increasing performance, portability, productivity
Gallery of highlights

- Overview of HPC numerical software packages
- 1 slide per package, emphasizing key capabilities, highlights, and where to go for more info
  - Listed first
    - Package featured in ATPESC 2018 lectures and hands-on lessons
  - Listed next
    - Additional highlighted packages (not a comprehensive list)
**Conceptual interfaces**
- Structured, semi-structured, finite elements, linear algebraic interfaces
- Provide natural “views” of the linear system
- Provide for more efficient (scalable) linear solvers through more effective data storage schemes and more efficient computational kernels

**Scalable preconditioners and solvers**
- Structured and unstructured algebraic multigrid solvers
- Maxwell solvers, H-div solvers
- Multigrid solvers for nonsymmetric systems: pAIR, MGR
- Matrix-free Krylov solvers

**Open source software**
- Used worldwide in a vast range of applications
- Can be used through PETSc and Trilinos
- Available on github: [https://www.github.com/LLNL/hypre](https://www.github.com/LLNL/hypre)

**Highly scalable multilevel solvers and preconditioners.** Unique user-friendly interfaces. Flexible software design. Used in a variety of applications. Freely available.

http://www.llnl.gov/CASC/hypre
MFEM
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

Free, lightweight, scalable C++ library for finite element methods. Supports arbitrary high order discretizations and meshes for wide variety of applications.

- **Flexible discretizations on unstructured grids**
  - Triangular, quadrilateral, tetrahedral and hexahedral meshes.
  - Local conforming and non-conforming refinement.
  - Bilinear/linear forms for variety of methods: Galerkin, DG, DPG, ...

- **High-order and scalable**
  - Arbitrary-order H1, H(curl), H(div)- and L2 elements. Arbitrary order curvilinear meshes.
  - MPI scalable to millions of cores. Enables application development on wide variety of platforms: from laptops to exascale machines.

- **Built-in solvers and visualization**
  - Integrated with: HYPRE, SUNDIALS, PETSc, SUPERLU, ...
  - Accurate and flexible visualization with VisIt and GLVis

- **Open source software**
  - LGPL-2.1 with thousands of downloads/year worldwide.
  - Available on GitHub, also via OpenHPC, Spack. Part of ECP’s CEED co-design center.

http://mfem.org
PETSc/TAO
Portable, Extensible Toolkit for Scientific Computation / Toolkit for Advanced Optimization

- Easy customization and composability of solvers at runtime
  - Enables optimality via flexible combinations of physics, algorithmics, architectures
  - Try new algorithms by composing new/existing algorithms (multilevel, domain decomposition, splitting, etc.)

- Portability & performance
  - Largest DOE machines, also clusters, laptops
  - Thousands of users worldwide

Scalable algebraic solvers for PDEs. Encapsulate parallelism in high-level objects. Composable, hierarchical, nested, extensible. Active & supported user community. Full API from Fortran, C/C++, Python.

PETSc provides the backbone of diverse scientific applications.

https://www.mcs.anl.gov/petsc
PUMi: Parallel Unstructured Mesh Infrastructure

- **Core functionality**
  - Distributed, conformant mesh with entity migration, remote read only copies, fields and their operations
  - Link to the geometry and attributes
  - Mesh adaptation (straight and curved), mesh motion
  - Multi-criteria partition improvement
  - Distributed mesh support for Particle In Cell methods

- **In-memory integrations developed**
  - MFEM: High order FE framework
  - PHASTA: FE for turbulent flows
  - FUN3D: FV CFD
  - Proteus: Multiphase FE
  - ACE3P: High order FE for EM
  - M3D-C1: FE based MHD
  - Nektar++: High order FE for flow
  - Albany/Trilinos: Multi-physics FE

- **Designed for integration into existing codes**
  - Conformant with XSDK
  - Permissive license enables integration with open and closed-source codes

**Parallel management and adaptation of unstructured meshes.**
Interoperable components to support the development of unstructured mesh simulation workflows

Applications with billions of elements: flip-chip (L), flow control (R)

Mesh adaptation for evolving features

Anisotropic adaptation for curved meshes

RF antenna and plasma surface in vessel.

Source Code: github.com/SCOREC/core
SuperLU

**Capabilities**
- Serial (thread-safe), shared-memory (SuperLU_MT, OpenMP or Pthreads), distributed-memory (SuperLU_DIST, hybrid MPI+ OpenM + CUDA).
  - Implemented in C, with Fortran interface
- Sparse LU decomposition, triangular solution with multiple right-hand sides
- Incomplete LU (ILU) preconditioner in serial SuperLU
- Sparsity-preserving ordering:
  - Minimum degree ordering applied to $A^TA$ or $A^TA$
  - Nested dissection ordering applied to $A^TA$ or $A^TA$ ([Par)METIS, (PT)-Scotch]
- User-controllable pivoting: partial pivoting, threshold pivoting, static pivoting
- Condition number estimation, iterative refinement.
- Componentwise error bounds

**Performance**
- Factorization strong scales to 24,000 cores (IPDPS’18)
- Triangular solve strong scales to 4000 cores (CSC’18)

**Open source software**
- Used worldwide in a vast range of applications, can be used through PETSc and Trilinos, available on github

**Supernodal Sparse LU Direct Solver.** Unique user-friendly interfaces. Flexible software design. Used in a variety of applications. Freely available.

http://crd-legacy.lbl.gov/~xiaoye/SuperLU

Wiidely adopted in commercial software, including AMD (circuit simulation), Boeing (aircraft design), Chevron, ExxonMobile (geology), Cray's LibSci, FEMLAB, HP's MathLib, IMSL, NAG, SciPy, OptimaNumerics, Walt Disney Animation.
AMReX

Block-structured adaptive mesh refinement framework. Support for hierarchical mesh and particle data with embedded boundary capability.

- **Capabilities**
  - Support for solution of PDEs on hierarchical adaptive mesh with particles and embedded boundary representation of complex geometry
    - Core functionality in C++ with frequent use of Fortran90 kernels
  - Support for multiple modes of time integration
  - Support for explicit and implicit single-level and multilevel mesh operations, multilevel synchronization, particle, particle-mesh, and particle-particle operations
  - Hierarchical parallelism -- hybrid MPI + OpenMP with logical tiling to work efficiently on new multicore architectures, GPU support in progress
  - Native multilevel geometric multigrid solvers for cell-centered and nodal data
  - Highly efficient parallel I/O for checkpoint/restart and for visualization – native format supported by Visit, Paraview, yt
  - Tutorial examples available in download
- **Open source software**
  - Used for a wide range of applications including accelerator modeling, astrophysics, combustion, cosmology, multiphase flow, phase field modeling...
  - Freely available on github

Examples of AMReX applications

https://www.github.com/AMReX-Codes/amrex
### Adaptive Mesh Refinement (AMR)
- Block structured AMR dynamically focuses computational effort where needed to improve solution accuracy
- Designed as a developers’ toolbox for implementing scalable AMR applications
- Implemented in C++/Fortran
- Solvers for hyperbolic, parabolic, and elliptic systems of PDEs

### Complex Geometries
- Embedded-boundary (EB) methods use a cut-cell approach to embed complex geometries in a regular Cartesian mesh
- EB mesh generation is extremely efficient
- Structured EB meshes make high performance easier to attain

### Higher-order finite-volume
- Higher (4th)-order schemes reduce memory footprint & improve arithmetic intensity
- Good fit for emerging architectures
- Both EB and mapped-multiblock approaches to complex geometry

---

**Chombo**

Scalable adaptive mesh refinement framework. Enables implementing scalable AMR applications with support for complex geometries.

[http://Chombo.lbl.gov](http://Chombo.lbl.gov)
MAGMA

- Dense Linear Algebra Solvers
  - Linear systems of equations
  - Linear least squares
  - Singular value decomposition
- Matrix spectrum methods
  - Symmetric and non-symmetric eigenvalues
  - Generalized eigenvalue problems
  - Singular Value Decomposition
- Sparse Solvers & Tensor Computations

FEATURES AND SUPPORT
- MAGMA 2.3 FOR CUDA
- cIMAGMA 1.4 FOR OpenCL
- MAGMA MIC 1.4 FOR Intel Xeon Phi

MAGMA SPARSE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROUTINES</th>
<th>BICG, BICGSTAB, Block-Asynchronous Jacobi, CG, CGS, GMRES, IDR, iterative refinement, LOBPCG, LSQR, QMR, TFQMR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRECONDITIONERS</td>
<td>ILU / IC, Jacobi, ParILU, ParILUT, Block Jacobi, ISAj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KERNELS</td>
<td>SpMV, SpMM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATA FORMATS</td>
<td>CSR, ELL, SELL-P, CSRS, HYB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

http://icl.utk.edu/magma/

Linear algebra solvers and spectral decompositions for hardware accelerators. Portable dense direct and sparse iterative solvers for GPUs and coprocessors.
**MATSuMoTo**
**MATLAB Surrogate Model Toolbox**

**Capabilities**
- Efficient solution of parameter optimization problems that involve time-consuming black-box HPC simulations during the objective function evaluation
- Surrogate models approximate the expensive function and aid in iterative selection of sample points
- Adaptive sampling for continuous, integer, and mixed-integer problems without relaxation of integer constraints

**Available User options**
- **Surrogate model choices**: radial basis functions, polynomial regression, multivariate adaptive regression splines, surrogate model ensembles
- **Iterative sample point selection**: local perturbations, global candidate points, minimization over the surrogate model
- **Initial experimental design**: Latin hypercube, symmetric Latin hypercube, design space corners

**Efficient optimization of computationally-expensive black-box problems.** For integer, mixed-integer, and continuous variables. Your choice of surrogate model, sampling method, and initial design strategy. Easy to use. Freely available.

https://optimization.lbl.gov/downloads
SUNDIALS
SUite of Nonlinear Differen/al /ALgebraic
equation Solvers

Adaptive time integrators for ODEs and DAEs and efficient nonlinear solvers. Used in a variety of applications. Freely available. Encapsulated parallelism.

- **ODE integrators:**
  - CVODE(S): variable order and step BDF (stiff) and Adams (non-stiff)
  - ARKode: variable step implicit, explicit, and additive IMEX Runge-Kutta

- **DAE integrators:** IDA(S) - variable order and step BDF integrators

- **Sensitivity Analysis (SA):** CVODES and IDAS provide forward and adjoint SA

- **Nonlinear Solvers:** KINSOL - Newton-Krylov, Picard, and accelerated fixed point

- **Modular Design**
  - Written in C with interfaces to Fortran
  - Users can supply own data structures
  - Optional use structures: serial, MPI, threaded, CUDA, RAJA, hypre, & PETSc

- **Open Source Software**
  - Freely available (BSD License) from LLNL site, github, and Spack
  - CMake-based portable build system
  - Can be used from MFEM and PETSc

---

SUNDIALS is used by thousands worldwide in applications from research and industry.

SUNDIALS is supported by extensive documentation, a sundials-users email list, and an active user community

http://www.llnl.gov/CASC/sundials
Trilinos

Optimal kernels to optimal solutions
- Geometry, meshing
- Discretization, load balancing
- Scalable linear, nonlinear, eigen, transient, optimization, UQ solvers
- Scalable I/O GPU, manycore

60+ packages
- Other distributions: Cray LIBSCI, Github repo
- Thousands of users, worldwide distribution
- Laptops to leadership systems

Transforming Computational Analysis To Support High Consequence Decisions

Systems of systems
Optimization under Uncertainty
Quantify Uncertainties/Systems Margins
Optimization of Design/System
Robust Analysis with Parameter Sensitivities
Accurate & Efficient Forward Analysis
Forward Analysis

Each stage requires greater performance and error control of prior stages: Always will need: more accurate and scalable methods, more sophisticated tools.

https://trilinos.org
Partitioning & load-balancing support many applications

- Fast geometric methods maintain spatial locality of data (e.g., for adaptive finite element methods, particle methods, crash/contact simulations)
- Graph and hypergraph methods explicitly account for communication costs (e.g., for electrical circuits, finite element meshes, social networks)
- Single interface to popular partitioning TPLs: XtraPuLP (SNL, RPI); ParMA (RPI); PT-Scotch (U Bordeaux); ParMETIS (U Minnesota)

Architecture-aware MPI task placement reduces application communication time

- Places interdependent MPI tasks on “nearby” nodes in computing architecture
- Reduces communication time and network congestion

Use as a stand-alone library or as a Trilinos component

Zoltan’s fast, geometric partitioner redistributes work to maintain load balance in a surface deposition simulation with adaptive meshing

Zoltan2’s task placement reduced communication time in E3SM HOMME (atmospheric modeling) by up to 31% on 16K cores of IBM BG/Q

Sign up for 1-on-1 discussions with numerical software developers

Via Google docs folder: See link in email:
- **Your email address**
- Select 1\(^{st}\), 2\(^{nd}\), and 3\(^{rd}\) priorities for short 1-1 meetings with expert developers
- Brief description of interests

- Complete by 4 pm CDT

Meeting opportunities include:

- Today, 6:30-9:30 pm
- Other days/times, opportunities for communication with developers who are not attending today
HandsOnLessons

- Hand-coded heat equation intro
- Unstructured meshing & finite elements
- Time integration & nonlinear solvers
- Krylov solvers & algebraic multigrid
- Sparse direct solvers
- Numerical optimization and adjoints
- Adaptive workflow

Github pages site:
https://xsdk-project.github.io/ATPESC2018HandsOnLessons
Custom-coded heat equation

Why you don’t wanna write custom code and should instead use numerical packages

Mark C Miller, LLNL
A science problem of interest: Will my water pipes freeze?
We have a PDE:
The one-dimensional heat equation

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \alpha \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}
\]

- \(u(x,t)\) is temperature in Kelvin
- \(x\) is distance in meters
- \(t\) is time in seconds
- \(\alpha\) is thermal diffusivity of the material (m\(^2\)/s)

Given boundary and initial conditions
- Left end-point: \(u(0,t) = U_0\)
- Right end-point: \(u(L_x,t)=U_L\)
- Initial temperature profile: \(u(x,0)=U(x)\)

• We seek a numerical software solution for \(u(x,t)\)
Discretize:
We need a mesh

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \alpha \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}
\]

Time

\[
\frac{u_{i+1}^k - u_i^k}{\Delta t} = \alpha \frac{u_{i-1}^k - 2u_i^k + u_{i+1}^k}{\Delta x}
\]

Space

\[
\frac{u_{i+1}^k - u_i^k}{\Delta t} = \alpha \frac{u_{i-1}^k - 2u_i^k + u_{i+1}^k}{\Delta x}
\]
Temperature, \( u \)

The simplest of all possible meshes
We need a solver

The *explicit* FTCS algorithm

\[
\frac{u_{i}^{k+1} - u_{i}^{k}}{\Delta t} = \alpha \frac{u_{i-1}^{k} - 2u_{i}^{k} + u_{i+1}^{k}}{\Delta x}
\]

\[
u_{i}^{k+1} = ru_{i+1}^{k} + (1 - 2r)u_{i}^{k} + ru_{i-1}^{k}
\]

\[
r = \alpha \frac{\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2}
\]

- Known to be **unstable** for \( r > \frac{1}{2} \)
- An explicit solver would involve a matrix, \( \overrightarrow{A}u^{k+1} = R\overrightarrow{u}^{k} \)
Exercise #1 (3 mins)
Open ftcs.C w/editor and write the body of this function

\[
u_i^{k+1} = ru_{i+1}^k + (1 - 2r)u_i^k + ru_{i-1}^k
\]

\[r = \frac{\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2}\]

```c
bool
update_solution_ftcs(
    int n,          // number of values
    Double *uk1,    // new values: u(i) i=0...n-1 @ t=k+1
    Double const *uk0, // last values: u(i) i=0...n-1 @ t=k
    Double alpha,   // thermal diffusivity
    Double dx, Double dt, // spacing in space, x, and time, t.
    Double bc0, Double bc1) // boundary conditions @ x=0 & x=Lx
{
    // ...
    
    // ...
    
    // ...
}
```
Exercise #2 (1 min)
Build and test the application

% make
c++ -c heat.C -o heat.o
c++ -c utils.C -o utils.o
c++ -c args.C -o args.o
c++ -c exact.C -o exact.o
c++ -c ftcs.C -o ftcs.o
c++ -c upwind15.C -o upwind15.o
c++ -c crankn.C -o crankn.o
c++ -o heat heat.o utils.o args.o exact.o ftcs.o upwind15.o crankn.o -lm

• How might we test it?
  – We know steady state solution for bc0=A and bc1=B is line from A to B
Exercise #3 (2 mins):
Run application to model problem of interest

- Outside temp has been same as inside temp @ 70 °F for a long time
- Night/storm will last 15.5 hours @ -40 °F
- Walls are 0.25 meters thick wood, pipe is 0.1 meters diameter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Thermal Diffusivity, $\alpha$, (m$^2$/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wood</td>
<td>$8.2 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adobe Brick</td>
<td>$2.7 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common (“red”) brick</td>
<td>$5.2 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Exercise #4 (1 mins)
Do some science / analyze the results

Criterion: Will conclude pipe freezes if…
…center point drops below freezing before storm passes

make plot PTOOL=[visit|gnuplot|pyplot] RUNAME=<run-name>

What if our problem were to find the thinnest wall width?
Custom coded solutions are a slippery slope
HPC software libraries provide powerful algorithms … and enable problem-specific customization

• **Numerical algorithm challenges**
  – Discretizations: Dimensions, orders, geometries, material interfaces, etc…
  – Time integrators: Adaptive, faster convergence, robustness, efficiencies, etc…
  – Solvers: implicit, explicit, iterative, direct, preconditioners, etc..
  – Optimization: Outer loops, nonintrusive, reduced order models, etc…
  – Validation & Verification: Vetted, trusted results, community accepted, etc…

• **Software development challenges**
  – Time and space performance, robustness
  – Scalability, load balance, performance portability
  – Encapsulation, interfaces, interoperability
  – Documentation, ease of installation, ease of use
  – Sustainable open source, supported with regular updates, bug tracking/fixing

Well-designed software libraries enable user-specific customization to exploit problem structure and understanding
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